(3)

c. 8.100

(1)

SINGLE BENCH

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 2510 OF 2006

PETITIONER : Kishan Asawa, Son of Late Shri Ganga Bisen Asawa, aged about 68 years, Resident of Ring Road Namnakala, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

P.R. No. 2557/06
Presented by Shri D.K. Pandey
dated 9/5/06

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of Revenue, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
9/5/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Collector, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur (C.G.).

3. The Tahsildar, Bilaspur, District Bilaspur, (C.G.).

4. The Dainik Nava Bharat Through: Its Managing Director, Cotton Market, Nagpur (Maharashtra).

5. The Nava Bharat Press, Bilaspur, Through: Its Manager, Bilaspur (C.G.).

6. The Commissioner, Regional Provident Fund Office, Nava Bharat Complex, Raipur (C.G.).

(4)

(2)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONER

(36)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WPC 2570 सन् 06 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	11-5-2006 Shri V.K. Pandey, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Ashutosh Kachchwaha, Govt. Advocate takes notice for the State/respondents No.1 to 3. Heard both the counsel. The main grievance of the petitioner is that he was working with respondents No.4 and 5. When the petitioner's claim was not paid, he moved the Labour Court where Rs.2,24,900/- was claimed and same was allowed. Even the recovery certificate was issued by the Collector, Bilaspur which was sent to respondent No.3 for recovery in the year 2003. Even after the lapse of 3 years respondent No.3 is not taking steps to recover the amount from respondents No.4 and 5. In the circumstances, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondents No.2 & 3 requesting for taking steps for recovery of the amount due against respondents No.4 & 5, and they be directed to pass order on his representation within a period of 4 weeks to which learned counsel for respondents No.1 to 3 has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is just, fair and reasonable, therefore, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation to respondents No.2 & 3 within a period of 10 days from today and in turn, respondents No.2 & 3 are directed to take appropriate steps on the representation made by the petitioner within another 2 months from the date of receipt of representation. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	
Barve		

[पीछे देखिये